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Resumen: Pocos territorios en Europa reúnen la concentra-ción de estelas en piedra que se documentan entre el Tajo y el Duero. La arqueología asegura amplias posibilidades extractivas, con un claro centro neurálgico en el actual distrito de Castelo Branco. Desde los primeros descubrimientos de piezas tan singulares como las de São Martinho, hasta el registro actual, la variedad y diacronía de estelas y menhires en piedra resulta excepcional en el contexto ibérico y europeo. La tradicional lectura, que alejaba los viejos menhires de las estelas del Bronce Final, queda muy matizada ante el uso de referencias temáticas y técnicas semejantes. En este texto aportamos otro argumento a sumar a esas similitudes, con la reutilización de los antiguos soportes como base material para la generación de las estelas del Bronce Final. Las imágenes humanas que se grabaron en estas memorias en piedra expresan narrativas sociales elaboradas. Las secuencias gráficas que argumentamos aseguran el papel político de estas piezas como justificaciones materiales de pasados ancestrales. Indudablemente albergan relatos orales sobre la relación entre los viejos ancestros y los nuevos líderes, justificando el orden del entramado económico asociado al control de la extracción y comercio del metal.
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Abstract: Few places in Europe concentrate as many stone stelae as the area between the Tagus and the Douro. Archaeology has shown the ample possibilities for metal mining, the modern region of Castelo Branco being the epicentre in the area. From the first discoveries of such unique objects as the São Martinho stelae to the current record, the variety and diachronity of stone stelae and menhirs is exceptional on the Iberian and European scales. The traditional interpretation that differentiated between the old menhirs and Late Bronze Age stelae has been nuanced by the evidence of similar themes and techniques. Another argument presented here is the use of the old stones as the basic material to produce the Late Bronze Age stelae. Human images carved on these memorial stones express elaborate social narratives. The graphic sequences described here demonstrate the "political" role of these stones and material justification of ancestral pasts. They were undoubtedly imbued with oral tales about old ancestors and new leaders, to justify the order of the economic system associated with mining and metal trade.
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INTRODUCTION

The consolidation of human images on stones documented in European Late Prehistory is markedly significant in the Iberian Peninsula; from the first Neolithic menhir to Protohistoric stelae, with evident continuity in the representation of warriors associated with the late first millennium cal BC.

Our research in this field has been aiming to demonstrate the establishment of anthropomorphic representations at the time of the first farming communities. Equally important is their role as undeniable models in the production of the more recent images. Not only their materiality is of great significance, but they also co-exist in the same necropolises, sharing ritual places that made their role as memories in visible stones (Barroso in the same necropolises, sharing ritual places that made their role as memories in visible stones (Barroso et al. 2007, Bueno Ramírez 1990, 1992, 1995, Bueno Ramírez et al. 2005a, 2010a, 2011a, 2011b, 2018a).

Within this long period of time, two turning points are perceptible from chronological and cultural perspectives. One is associated with old menhir and stelae that formed one of the ideological foundations of the first megalithic monuments. The other one is related to the creation of the armed stelae from the third millennium cal BC onwards. Therefore, the times between the sixth and fifth millennia cal BC, and the third millennium, are fundamental to answer some of the questions about these images. Similarly, the confirmation that some of the Late Bronze Age stelae were reused megalithic stones or menhir and older stelae adds a new element to reflect on the exhibition of the past and the ideology that underpinned the social construction of these images.

Reusage of megalithic stones continued in later productions, both in the monuments and for portable objects found within the grave goods (Bueno Ramírez 1995: 128, 2010, Bueno Ramírez et al. 2015a, 2015b, 2016, Díaz-Guardamino 2010, Jones et al. 2015). It was precisely this constant reuse throughout Prehistory and Protohistoric that resulted in an accumulation of the past within sculptural representations. They were imbued with transversal biographies that survived and adapted not only to different chronologies but to diverse typologies and contexts, as they became ideological references at the end of Protohistory and the start of History (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2005a: 639).

Within the wide territories in the Iberian Peninsula where armed representations have been found, some areas have stood out since the first discoveries. The plains between the International Tagus and the Douro, in the middle basins of the two rivers that cross the whole of inland Iberia (Northern and Southern Meseta) and flow into the Atlantic. The Castelo Branco district coincides approximately with this area. Its wealth of metal seams is well-known, as well as its dense population, which becomes especially visible from the third millennium cal BC onwards (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2004, Henriques et al. 2011, Vilaça 2000). Access to the Guadiana and Guadalquivir valleys, or to the Algarve or northern Portugal, is rapid and easy from this area.

This area displays certain elements enabling a reflection on some of the classic aspects of the origin of megaliths. One of them is the diachronic use of the monuments and their association with stelae, menhir and small human images. The other one is the persistence of the ancestors’ ritual during the Bronze Age. Craftsmanship, specialisation, identities and tradition can be seen in these artefacts. They also provide evidence about the social importance of the exhibition of human figures throughout several millennia. We might also reflect on the meaning of the anthropomorphic references’ variability (fig. 1).

CULTURAL TRAJECTORIES AND HISTORIES OF STONE IN THE CASTELO BRANCO DISTRICT

The São Martinho stelae at Monsanto (Salvado and Batista 2016) are one of the historiographic landmarks in the series of Bronze Age stelae that regarded as an ensemble since Almagro Basch’s repertoire (1966). They were always considered unique in the Iberian Peninsula, because of their complex decoration did not corresponding exactly with the most classic cases (Araque 2018, Celestino 2001, Díaz-Guardamino 2010). The different phases of engraving on the Castelo Branco stelae (studied by Bueno Ramírez et al. i.p.) enrich the importance of the abovementioned return to the past in Protohistoric stelae. The major role of this district in the production of armed images in south-west Iberia during the Chalcolithic confirms the importance of the third millennium cal BC, especially its second half.

This area displays a long tradition of human occupation, including sites with Palaeolithic decoration (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2010b, Gomes 2007) and Epipalaeolithic occupations associated with rock art and burials (Oliveira et al. 2014, Cerrillo et al. 2015). Other finds include early Neolithic populations, fortified settlements, painted rock-shelters, engravings in the open air, menhir and stelae. These finds are beyond the scope of this paper. However, this accumulation
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of evidence in the western plains between the Tagus and the Douro establishes this area as the area with the greatest potential in southern Europe, despite the classic interpretation of unoccupied regions until later times in Protohistory (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2004, 2008a, Oliveira 2011, Oliveira and Oliveira 2000, da Silva and Soares 2012).

Megalithic monuments codified architectures through a required social consensus and cohesion, both for their construction and for the different stages of their use and maintenance. Their abundance in this district has been exponentially increased in recent years as the result of intense research on both banks of the Tagus, especially after the late 1980s and 1990s (Bueno Ramírez 1988, 2000, Bueno Ramírez et al. 1998, 1999a, 2006a, 2006b, 2007a, 2012, Caninas et al. 2004, Cardoso et al. 1995a, 1997, Oliveira 1998, Scarre et al. 2011) (fig. 2).

It is symptomatic that all the periods in recent Prehistory are associated with human images in stone, of various typologies, sizes and positions. This association is quite revealing. Moreover, centres where these images were produced in Late Prehistory Europe are constantly linked with areas of mining or quarrying: flint in southern France, salt on the European plains, copper on the Mediterranean islands. This also seems to be true throughout this district, with its potential for gold, copper and tin (Barroso et al. 2003 and 2017, Bueno Ramírez et al. 2004, Robb 2009).

The situation is the same in the Bronze Age. Some studies in Extremadura in the 1970s (Almagro Gorbea 1977), only partly updated (Martín 1999) still need to be followed up. In contrast, in Portugal, the steady documentation of habitats and metal finds has changed the panorama. This used to be a ‘poor’ district and it is now one of the places where we need to consider the potential for...
the distribution of fluvial gold (Barroso et al. 2003, Bueno Ramírez et al. 2004, Henriques et al. 2011), copper and bronze metallurgy, and the early date of the use of iron (Vilaça 2013). This period is equally accompanied by representations in stone, from the first armed figures that are chronologically connected with the stelae in Alentejo, to the better-known objects in the series of south-western stelae. The latter are significantly represented quantity-wise in the district (Vilaça et al. 2011a).

Coinciding with the end of the characteristic Iberian Neolithic and Chalcolithic portable representations, the armed stelae bring together social exhibitions of great visual impact. These remain as the ‘mark’ of accumulated pasts to enrich the images of the new late Bronze Age hierarchies. The stelae of Longroiva, Corgas, Telhado and Monte dos Zebros (Banha et al., 2010, Bizarro et al., 2016, Cardoso 2011), together with the nearby ones at Lagunita I, Garrovillas (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2011b), Valencia de Alcántara, Alcántara and Hernán Pérez (Bueno Ramírez 1992, Bueno Ramírez and Vazquez Cuesta 2009), and evidently the famous group at São Martinho, confirm that their material references recuperated ancestral representations. Our hypothesis is about the value of these stelae as megalithic memory and thus it acquires significant quantitative and qualitative support (Bueno Ramírez et al., 2005a: 617, Bueno Ramírez et al., 2010a).

This district was traditionally viewed as if it had no archaeological interest, even depicted as agriculture-less. This idea has been maintained until practically the start of the new millennium (Oliveira 2000). We currently have powerful arguments in favour of more complex understandings through the study of the anthropomorphic representations. On one hand, we ought to consider the personality and workshops of the decorated plaques in the structured deposits, and the importance of the technical and symbolic formulae of these representations over Neolithic and Chalcolithic statues (Bueno Ramírez 2010). On the other hand, we must reflect on the Mediterranean contacts visible through large sculptures in triangular shapes, that maintain ancestral references (type of material used and fabrication methods). They are solid evidence for the social and ideological background of these groups (Bueno Ramírez 1990, 1992, 2010, Bueno Ramírez et al. 2005a, 2010a, Henriques et al. 2012, Vilaça et al. 2011a). The exceptionality of the Castelo Branco stelae (as well as all the works published since their discovery), is precisely their role as stone witnesses for the ancestors’ memories persistence. Indeed, it is these references that justify their millennial value (Bueno Ramírez et al. i.p.). The western Tagus valley can therefore be included in the debate about population stability in the Iberian Peninsula. This landscape
is one of the clearest facets of connectivity in recent Prehistory, at least since the Neolithic, in the light of the latest discoveries.

A Prehistory constructed based on absences and marginal groups is seen in the same way as the latest research on the European plains. The idea is that there are territories with great social availability, play a key role in new cultures, and this is as important as how they manage the movement of raw materials and ideas. The Iberian Peninsula is definitely one of the most relevant of these territories in Europe. The Mediterranean influence, noted since the 1990s in Chalcolithic statuary, has now been clearly documented in the area of Andalusia through the identification of amber from Sicily and ivory from Africa and Asia (Bueno Ramirez 1990, 1992, 1995, García Sanjuán et al. 2013, Schumacher and Banerjee 2012). Figures of undeniable visibility have only been demonstrated in the area. Thus, it is likely that the territory between the Tagus and the Douro was important for the distribution of certain raw materials. As noted above, its metallurgical wealth is well known and the Castelo Branco district is one of the most important case studies in south-west Iberia, together with places in the area of Huelva (Vilaça 2004a).

**STELEAE, MENHIRS, STANDING STONES. DIACHRONIC INTERPRETATIONS**

The confluence of similar ways of fabricating, profiles and roles among stelae, menhir and standing stones suggests a reassessment of the way we classify each of these versions as a separate entities (Bueno Ramirez 1990, 1992, 1995). The convincing attribution of these stones to human images that, decorated or else, alludes to ancestors, is increasing in popularity in European megaliths’ studies. They appear in open-air structures (cromlechs, alignments, etc.) as well as indoor monuments, attesting to a much more common inter-relationship than classic historiography admitted. Sequences of menhir and dolmens in the first phases of megalithic constructions are well known. Likewise, they exist during the whole chronology of the monuments and contribute to complex construction, repair, maintenance and closure processes. The stones (standing stones, stelae and menhir) played and essential role for the ideological discourse that was manifested through the funerary rituals (Bueno Ramirez et al. 2008b, 2015a, 2015c, 2016, 2018b, Laporte, 2010, Laporte et al. 2017).

The peak period of these processes cannot be separated from the great age of some menhir and stelae, and of some standing stones. The current state of art suggests that symbolic differences between the early and middle Neolithic ideological products are shortening. The ideological and craftsmanship (ways of working) relationship between the first farmers’ stone images and the megalithic constructions is not as great as it seemed (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2007b and c).

In this context, the shared distribution of these objects is another argument supporting the search for the repeated use of the same stones with anthropomorphic value. In our area of study, the Granja de Sao Pedro dolmen’s menhir, known since the 1950s (Almeida and Ferreira 1958, Salvado and Baptista 1982) combine the elongated profiles of the narrow upper part and thicker sections with representation of lines and cup-marks, similarly to other old menhirs in the district. The recently documented Nisa menhir can be linked to those at Meada and Alcântara, of similar morphology and chronology in the early Neolithic (Oliveira 2016).

The inclusion of old stones in dolmens is another important factor that is being considered in recent studies. Our research at the megalithic site of Proença a Nova together with our colleague J. Caninas has succeeded in documenting the reuse of stones in Cabeço da Anta and at the Alvito dolmen. This is equally present in the megaliths in the area of the old Order of Alcântara in Caceres (Bueno Ramirez et al. 2008a).

Other aspects support this perspective, such as the size of the stones. Some of them measure nearly 5 metres and others are even larger (Meada). They contrast with the more standardised sizes in the Algarve and parts of the Alentejo, where they tend to be a maximum of 3 metres, with notable exceptions such as the stela-menhir of Anta Grande Zambujeiro (Soares and da Silva 2010). Only a few stones in the Iberian North reach that size (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2009a) and highlight the undeniable intention of long-distance visibility that seems to define this kind of monument. Other factors, such as the social relevance projected through with the size of the erected stones (menhir and stelae), their capacity for social recruitment and even their demography, are to take into account when reflecting on the collective labour that the search, choice, transport, erection and maintenance of these large stones required.

Their decoration is another outstanding factor. In general, they reiterate sinuous forms with low relief techniques associated with hafted axes, crooks and suns, together with human features, like faces and clothing on the stones that are classed as stela-menhir. The close relationship between menhir and stela-menhir is evident, especially if we consider
similarities in fabrication techniques, as in the Almendres menhir. In any case, this ensemble is clearly an anthropomorphic group linked to the diachrony of the megalithic monuments in the territories where they are located (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2015a and 2015b, Cerrillo et al. 2019).

If the engravings described above are compared with contemporaneous European productions (Calado 2002), especially the crooks and axes as well as the clothing (where the Iberian examples are quantitatively more important), the menhir in the International Tagus suggest another interesting point. Further south, the presence of stones decorated in local styles, such as the Lavajo menhir, closely related to the decorated megaliths in the area, and their predominant pecking technique, guarantee the Chalcolithic date. A good example is a plaque comparable to those that are found in megalithic deposits (Bueno Ramírez 2000, Cardoso et al. 2002, Cardoso and Gradim, 2011) (fig. 3). Thus the possibility of determining precise sequences within menhir groups is of greater interest. In fact, this is quite unique on a European scale. Some examples documented in the South of France suggest long-distance links across the plains of central Iberia, as has been noted (Bueno et al., 2009a). We are referring to the menhir of Guillay, Landes (Beyneix 2007: 519) with a pecked schematic quadruped in the Tagus style.

Large stones are the basis of the third millennium cal BC armed representations. They reiterate the Alentejo stelae’s weaponry but on stones that aspire to display their visibility in the same way as the older menhir. The difference between the tampas esculturadas, normally in a zenithally or sub-vertical position, and the statues that characterise the examples in the centre/north of Portugal and western Spain, establishes different readings of the funerary landscapes. The third millennium statues include the images of the oldest ancestors, repeating their sizes and even reusing old menhir to give them a new importance adding the weaponry of third millennium warriors. In this regard, the upper end of the Corgas statue (Banha et al. 2010) with its pointed apex and roll of the phallus is very similar to the classic top of the oldest menhirs described above (fig. 4). The same is true of the upper part of the São Martinho menhir (Díaz-Guardamino 2010), or Ataúdes stela-menhir in the near locality of Guarda (Vilaça et al. 2003) with weapons in an Alentejo stelae style.

The pointed ends of triangular stones are known as central uprights in some chambers in the Évora area (Anta de Mitra is a good example). They are comparable to one of the large stelae currently being documented in the area of the gate at Perdigões (Bueno Ramírez et al. in elaboration), as well as to the Longroiva stela, which has been interpreted as a re-used stone (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2010a). Their format is identical to that of the peculiar stone at Telhado, which is quite different from the types of stones currently known for Late Bronze Age stelae (fig. 5). Thus, Corgas, Longroiva and Telhado could be old stones that were re-engraved to play a more visible role in this area of study. On the other hand, its outline reproduces that of a ‘grinder’, an aspect that is also associated to the Cegonhas menhir, in Idanha-a-Nova (Cardoso et al. 1995b), and that has relevant references in the European context (Graefe et al. 2009).

The systematic reuse of stones has been observed in many more cases of Chalcolithic and Bronze Age stelae: Millarón (Bueno Ramírez and Balbín Behrmann 1991), Cruz de Cepos (Alves y Reis 2011) with several reuses on an older stela, the Tameirón example (Comendador et al. 2011), the Baraçal 1 stela (Santos et al. 2011: 8), a possible stela with
a defined head, the Aldea Velha stela (Vilaça et al. 2011b: fig. 4), re-engraved (as can be seen in the area of the head) over an older representation, the two stelae of Piedra da Atalaia (Vilaça et al. 2011a), where we appreciate the notorious of a possible angular bottom of Pedra da Atalaia I, and the fragmentation of an older engraving of Pedra da Atalía II.

Other menhir have been documented as the base of Late Bronze Age stelae (like Bayuela and Talavera de la Reina at Toledo, or Luna at Zaragoza), as well as the menhir known at Late Bronze Age/Iron Age sites (Rocha 2003) (fig. 6). A long tradition of elongated stones with phallic references in their upper part can be seen in the oldest Neolithic examples until the Iron Age. In addition, the confirmation that some of the stones were reused more than once emphasises the diachrony of human references as part of the interpretation of these monuments in the social settings that maintained them.

Parallel with this statuary, a large number of sculptural representations on stones include documented reiterations on decorated plaques, especially the sculptural types produced in workshops between the Tagus and the Douro, and as far as the Guadiana (the same areas that the Hurdes-Gata series occupies; Bueno Ramírez 1992, 1995). The chronology of the plaques is still documented in the third millennium BC (dolmen of Trincones, Alcántara) and that of the stelae is dated in a similar time. The close similarity of the representations on the stela-plaques and the central-western series has been noted on several occasions (Bueno Ramírez 1992, 2010, Bueno Ramírez et al. 1999b, 2011a, Bueno Ramírez and González Cordero 1995). The stela at Monte dos Zebros (Cardoso 2011), in our area of study, is a clear example of these connections. Recent works on the examples from the Montelirio dolmen, whose clay stela is quite similar to other examples in the area (especially Crato and Nossa Senhora da Esperança), does not only confirm the proposed chronology. It also reinforces the role of the inland plains between the Tagus and the Douro in the projection of symbolism associated with the greatest movements of raw materials and ideas in Late European Prehistory: the third millennium cal BC. We should not forget the impact of these approaches on places like Peña Tú and all the examples in northern Spain and their connections to Europe (Bueno Ramírez 2010, Bueno Ramírez et al. 2010a, 2015c) (fig. 7).

Finally, it should be noted that south-western stelae used the same type of stone as the series of central-western stelae: a dark raw material, normally schist, and flat medium-sized surfaces.

Figure 4. Menhir of Corgas, Fundão Museum, Portugal. Photo R. de Balbin Behrmann.
THE CASTELO BRANCO STELAE: ANCESTRAL BIOGRAPHIES SET IN STONE

Together with their quantitative abundance and formal variety, two very important factors converge in the representation of human images in our area of study: their ancient age, especially for their singular versions in the megalithic landscape, and their age-old importance in the materialisation of power in a Protohistoric time (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2011b).

These two aspects are linked in some objects and contribute to a unique interpretation of graphic sequences. The stelae capture different times, fix their memories and offer fragments of the past that we can recuperate through the application of careful study protocols.

The first phase of graphic activity is evident on stele I and III of Sâo Martinho (fig. 8), with forms that are recognisable in central-western stelae, especially in the style of the example from Granja de Toniñuelo (or Nave and Zebros) which displays interesting connections with Stela I. Both were fabricated in granite. Belts depicted with a single line or two, with an inner fill of dots, are associated with clothing, probably with folds or geometric adornments like the better-known examples on the megalithic stelae in the South of France (Maille 2010). Light engraving of a semi-circular face associated with necklaces in the upper part of stela I, together with the semi-circular carving of part of its right side with a fill of incised angular motifs, contribute to define this first engraving phase. On Stela III the

Figure 5. Central chamber uprigth of Anta de Mitra, Évora. Telhado Stela, Fundão Museum, Portugal. Longroiva Stela, Guarda, Portugal. Photos R. de Balbin Behrmann.
Figure 6. Reutilised stelae: Bayuela, Talavera de la Reina, Luna, Aldea Velha, Tameirón, Baraçal, Pedra Atalaia I and II, after the authors and Comendador et al. 2011, Santos et al. 2011, Vilaça et al. 2011a and Vilaça et al. 2011b.
possible clothing is more geometric and can be related to some similar shapes in late megalithic monuments (da Cruz et al. 1998) and to the recent stela of monument 2 of Rochão (Santos and Marques 2007). These add further archaeological confirmation of a third millenium cal BC date for these examples, as previously suggested.

A use that is technically and formally related to the Alentejo stelae is clear in the cut objects that appear at the top of both types. In the stela III, there is possibly an axe handle, because of the width and rounded end of the figure. In the stela I, a fragment of a sword blade can convincingly be related to the abovementioned engraved examples on these stelae. On the other hand, a less common figure combines two elongated trapezoidal shapes interpreted as feet, connected by vertical crosspieces (Gomes and Monteiro 1977: 174). In São Martinho I, only one of the feet shapes and part of the crossing lines can be recognised. The rest have been erased to re-engrave the central emblem. Present

on the stelae of Ervidel 1 and Gomes Aires (Almagro Basch 1966: fig. 41), this image undoubtedly connects with elements that are well defined in the Algarve area. Their chronology (Diaz-Guardamino 2011: 76) coincides with the date mentioned above, with possible extensions in the first half of the second millennium (Bueno et al. 2005a: 623).

Another element shared by both objects is the central emblem. Until recently, the elongated central figure in stela I was regarded as unique within the Late Bronze Age examples (fig. 9). However, in recent years some sites have provided examples that, in their shape and position on the stone, are comparable with this figure. These are the emblems that define the surfaces of some statue-menhir in the Iberian North. Created on menhir-type stones with phallic ends, it is plausible that some of them are reused stones, as previously stated. The documentation of the proximate examples of Nave 1 and 2 provides new points of reference to understand the graphic sequence on the São Martinho stelae.

On the one hand their belts and necklaces display similar designs to those on the central-western stelae, in the surroundings of Granja de Toniñuelo. On the other hand, the emblem is in their centre, as in the case of the
The statue-menhirs in the north of Iberia. They reproduce a shape that resembles the depiction of the body on the Longroiva stela; also with weapons of a third millennium cal BC date. This is an outstanding point of reference. Finally, the lateral engravings resemble sites such as Tremedal and others: third millennium warriors and different clothing or armour. The emblem is in the centre of the Nave 1 and 2 examples and both stones display a noticeable opening at the top. Their endings resemble those of SMI and SMIII at their lower part. The central position is common to other statues in the series, and the relationship of the emblem with a Granja de Toniñuelo-type belt in both cases is another argument supporting links in old engravings. There is one that relates to the central-western stelae and is well represented on stela I. The other one is similar to the Alentejo stelae and the inclusion of the emblem on the two stelae. The sequence of weapons/emblem is present in both cases. Indeed, the evidence of consecutive and non-contemporaneous actions in examples SMI and SMIII of São Martinho suggests variability within the same graphic and chronological framework in a relatively small area. The exclusive determination of chronological sequences by index-fossils is not valid here. The study of the technical and symbolic actions and the contexts in which they appear has provided most of the information. A long period in the third millennium and early second millennium may be suggested for all these versions (Bueno Ramírez 1991, Bueno Ramírez et al. 2005a, 2010a, 2011a).

In any case, stelae I and III at São Martinho demonstrate an intensive use in that chronological framework within which other types of statuary are known in the same area (Corgas and Ataúdes statues, or the ones at Longroiva, being the most important). It is likely that the third stela at São Martinho, SM II, with its menhir
shape and phallic carving at the top, was also used during the same period. Moreover, the engravings on the head, made with a completely different technique from those on the front, suggest similarities with protections, especially helmets, like those observed on third millennium Mediterranean statuary. Examples like the Astroki bowl/helmet in gold, display those central geometric drawings with inter-crossing lines that are very similar to the figure at the top of São Martinho II (fig. 10).

Finally the position of these stelae, as proposed by Vilaça (2004b) is also repeated: located in the lower part of the São Martinho Hill, with stela III probably below stela I and possibly abandoned before the latter. Only stela I display graphic evidence of a later reuse, demonstrating its longer prominent position within the site they shared at the foot of São Martinho Hill.

The difference, so often discussed, between the three stelae is nuanced if the techniques and themes on the stelae are studied in detail. They are particularly similar in the graphic solutions adopted for the figures and their helmets. Their size, central position in the panel, the technique employed to depict them, modules used to draw the head, the endings of the feet and the circles associated with the arms are undoubtedly similar, as well as the clothing they wear and the details of the adornments. Establishing that the two figures on stela I and the figure of stela III are very similar is consequent with their technical and graphic resemblances. One of the last times when these stelae were used might have been during the period of the horned helmets warrior’s stelae. A chronology between the eleventh and tenth centuries is widely-accepted. Their relationship with Sardinian warriors including even the adornment on their shoulders and their wide-spread presence as far as the Guadiana, are further elements to determine the cultural connections explaining these chieftains’ displays of power (Almagro Basch 1966: LXIX, 4) (fig. 11).

The figures on stelae I and III are indeed very alike. In stela I, the similarity of the clothing, helmets, heads and adornments on the shoulders of the figures on each side of the emblem is inescapable. However, it is also a reality that the figure on the viewer’s right is more poorly represented both in proportions and in engraving. It has some corrections as well. It was probably shaped by an ‘amateur’ sculptor or perhaps more quickly. The presence of a circle in the style of a belt buckle recalls evidence from such necropolises as Setefilla, where these adornments appear in association with young individuals, both male and female (Aubet 1997, Barceló 1998). This idea of pairing is relatively frequent in the series from the south-west. The difference in size between the figures has been interpreted as the representation of individuals of different rank. In this case, it can be suggested that they are a young man and a chieftain, or even a woman represented with the attributes of a warrior.
The individual on São Martinho II also fits into the Late Bronze Age iconography, although it is part of a hunting scene. The way the raised arms are depicted was also used to draw the possible shields of the warriors on stela I. Their position resembles the horned helmets on the latter stela. This similarity is more plausible when observing the round head, the feathers by the head and the clothing consisting of a belt and a tunic with a zigzag pattern. Clothing represented by incised geometric patterns, as noted by Gomes and Monteiro (1977: fig.7), is supported by our photographic interpretation. It is also a positive element to suggest the great resemblance of the three warrior images found on the two monoliths still standing after the longest time at the foot of São Martinho Hill. The technical and thematic evidence suggests that stelae I and III were fabricated at similar periods in time.

Arrowheads about to be shooting were added to the representations of a stag and birds recalling ancestral images. This calls back to the role of hunting as one of the social characteristics that ennobled the representations of chieftains on these stelae. A scene of this type in Alamillo, Ciudad Real, is associated with individuals with horned helmets. Graphics with a naturalistic tendency described by other authors are distributed as far as the Guadiana (as described above) and are also related to megalithic art reminiscences. One of the birds appears engraved right above a deer’s antlers in the picture. Also, another evidence of reuse.

The flipside of both stelae also displays valuable evidence for the persistence of these memories in stone. Stela I display a semi-circular carving that leaves a 10 centimetre in diameter space at the base. The resulting concavity emphasises the shoulders to produce a body on which a necklace and probably a weapon were engraved. Some other examples, such as the of Altea la Vella (Martínez and Sala 2016) in the Spanish Levant are also interesting references to suggest an Iron Age reuse, somewhere around the fifth century, when local deities are the result of images of the past expressing their ancestral strength. The backside of São Martinho II is harder to observe because of the structure that is now supporting it. However, two very schematic human figures are visible, one below the other, in a style with fine incisions and open arms well known in the series of the south-west.

EPILOGUE

The location of decorated megalithic stones and armed stelae in the Iberian Peninsula has been interpreted as...
evidence of the importance of the West in the cultural
definition of Late Prehistory. The Atlantic influence
would situate the Iberian megalithic decorations in the
north-west. However, the current known distribution
places them all across Iberia (Bueno Ramírez et al.
2015d). Armed stelae are equally scattered in the
west. Some recent discoveries (Fábregas et al. 2007,
Fernández-Eraso et al. 2016, Teira and Ontañón 2016,
López and Moyá 2010, Bueno Ramírez et al. 2009a)
in addition to those already documented in the north
and the east (Almagro Basch 1972, Bueno Ramírez
and Fernández Miranda 1981, Durán i Sempere
1970), and examples from the Iberian interior (San-
tonja and Santonja 1978, López Plaza and Sevillano
1996, Romero Carnicero 1981), suggest that the dis-
tribution and density were considerably broader and
larger. Late Bronze Age stelae, traditionally centred
on the Guadalquivir and Guadiana basins with some
extensions towards the Tagus, are now appearing
throughout an area very similar to the one described
above. The west and centre of the Iberian Peninsula
maintain a close relationship with the Atlantic world
throughout Late Prehistory. Nevertheless, it did not
impede a connection with the Mediterranean. In fact,
this dichotomy, emphasised by its geographic position
and easy connections across the landscape, in addition
to the possibilities for mining, explains the variety of
graphic expressions in Iberia which is the most impor-
tant in Europe nowadays.

Their presence in the megalithic world has become
one of the arguments to establish the old age of mega-
liths’ in Brittany (Migdley 2013). Several stones that
were used outdoors were the origin for some megal-
lithic monuments. In recent years, research in the Ibe-
rion Peninsula has provided with evidence of similar
uses, especially in Galicia (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2016),
Andalusia (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2009b, 2013, 2015c
and 2018b), and the Tagus basin (Bueno Ramírez et al.
2004, 2015b). Further evidence in Catalunya is signif-
icant (Bueno Ramírez et al. 2007c, López and Moyá
2010). Furthermore, there is no doubt that reuse of
the menhir from the dolmens of Navalcán and Azután
(Bueno Ramírez et al. 1999c, 2005b) suggests a very
widespread distribution in which menhir and stone ste-
lae played an important role as part of structures that
may have been even older than the megaliths (Bueno
Ramírez et al. 2017). An early phase of erected large
stones during the sixth millennium cal BC and defi-
nitely in the fifth millennium cal BC is coherent with
the archaeological information currently available
(Bueno Ramírez et al. 2007b).

Radiocarbon dates associated with menhir in the
area of the international Tagus, together with infor-
mation about their secondary use in megalithic monu-
ments, means that this is of an outstanding relevance
for the debate. It is one of the clearest areas because
of the early Neolithic sites to which some of the men-
tioned menhir examples are associated (Calado 2002,
Oliveira 2015).

Megalithic stones were transformed, reused and
adapted to different sequences of use in the monuments,
thus becoming the ancestral memory of the first build-
ers. The superimposition of image on image, and the
use of stones of similar sizes confirm the importance
of ancestral references as part of a ritual exhibition in
the Late Bronze Age and Iron Age. Stelae in those periods
demonstrate similar ideological backgrounds, justifying
the mobility between heroic individuals and images of
deities associated with the Iron Age. A sequence of
memories that is well documented in other areas of Eu-
ropean Prehistory has reached an importance that was
previously unsuspected in our area of study (fig. 12)

The new interpretation of the Castelo Branco monu-
ments, together with the new studies that should be car-
rried out for other stelae (particularly those on stones
with a ‘tradition’ in the same area, such as Corgas or
Telhado), confirms the need for a modern reassessment.
The diachronicity of uses, based on more detailed
studies of the stones, can provide evidence of their
more than likely long chronologies. These include pre-
vious traditions that have not been considered before.
The reason why they were dismissed is the influence
of colonial ideas as the only and exclusive explanation
for the appearance of these records.

The area of study in this paper is an outstanding
example within the Iberian archaeological record as a
whole because of the diverse typologies that have been
documented and their long chronologies. Three aspects
that had not been previously considered suggest old
reminiscences that support a complex interpretation
of these stone monuments:

— The choice of old stones, such as menhir or stela-
menhir, on which evidence of reuse is visibly ac-
cumulated.

— The use of techniques and typologies for human fig-
ures with a long prior tradition.

— Evidence that in some ‘cemeteries’, the space is
shared between older stones and therefore older burials.

The robust inventory of anthropomorphic images
between the Tagus and the Douro (which will surely
continue to increase in the near future), the existence of
long chronologies from the Neolithic and onwards, the technical and thematic experience of their creators, the ‘languages’ used on the stones, their association with funerary contexts and habitation sites with prolonged use, etc. All of the above constitute an unquestionable body of evidence to question the idea of ‘colonisation’ as the sole ideological origin of the south-western steleae. Ideological, material and technical previous availability played an essential role in a social situation where chieftains were competing through the exhibition and acquisition of exotic objects (mostly imported from the Mediterranean area). This competition originated in the first half of the third millennium cal BC. During the Late Bronze Age this ruling class was probably already established and the exhibition of Mediterranean artefacts was reduced to simpler images that demonstrated their possibilities without actually materialising (except on rare occasions). It was class propaganda, or perhaps linages’ propaganda, rather than real wealth that was deposited in the burials.

Many questions remain unanswered, but the most noticeable wealth is seen in Andalusian tombs, where there are fewer steleae. In contrast, in mining areas, the number of steleae is larger, but the burials contain less ‘flashy’ goods. This makes us wonder if the steleae were images of the traders/farmers/metal workers who supplied materials for the elites in the larger/richer burials (probably connected with Phoenician trade). Whatever

Figure 12. São Martinho ensemble. An idealised view. Photos R. de Balbin Behrmann.
the answer, it is clear that the people, who made the steleae in those areas where they are most abundant, knew and practised a deeply-rooted system of human image representation.
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