
Fernando Antonio Ignacio González
faigonzalez@iiess-conicet.gob.ar
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8347-9808
Instituto de Investigaciones Económicas y Sociales del Sur,
Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS)-Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET).
San Andrés 800, Bahía Blanca-Argentina.

1. INTRODUCTION

A disaster is a serious disruption of the functioning of a community or society involving widespread human, material, economic or environmental losses and impacts, which exceeds the ability of the affected community or society to cope with using its own resources (United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction [UNISDR], 2009). If the primary cause of the disaster is nature, it is called a natural disaster, while if it is directly generated by human behaviour it is called an anthropogenic or technological disaster. This work examines natural disasters and this includes geophysical, hydrological, meteorological and climatological disasters (Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters [CRED], 2009).

Globally, a growing consensus has developed around the idea of joint consideration of the multiple types of natural disasters that occur in a country or region to enable a comprehensive analysis of the risk profile (Kousky, 2012; Liu, Siu & Mitchell, 2016). Frequently, the risk analysis associated with multiple natural disasters is conceived in a relative way: based on the construction of indexes -which consider elements of hazard, exposure and vulnerability- qualitatives or semi-quantitatives, comparisons are made between countries or regions to detect those zones which present a greater risk of disaster (Xu, Zhuo, Zheng, Gu & Tian, 2016). The foregoing, however, does not allow to quantify joint probabilities of occurrence of disasters or losses exceedance probabilities.

The estimation of probabilistic models applied to the analysis of natural disasters has many precedents (Grunthal et al., 2006; Askan & Yucemen, 2010; Winter, Schneeberger, Huttenlau & Stotter, 2017, Stephen-son, Hunter, Youngman & Cook, 2018). Frequently, probabilistic models assume a certain distribution for
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1. Despite this distinction between natural and technological disasters, the fact that human behaviour can influence the occurrence and impact of natural disasters is not ignored.
2. Probability for a specific value -for example, of deaths per year- to be equaled or exceeded.
natural disaster losses (parametric estimation) and use historical disaster data to estimate distribution parameters (Grunthal et al., 2006; Winter et al., 2017). Given the limited availability of historical disaster data-that is, small samples- nonparametric estimation methods are used (Xu et al., 2016). This includes estimates of kernel density, histogram density and information diffusion.

On the other hand, Huang (1997) proposed the use of the information diffusion method to overcome the limitations of non-parametric methods in contexts where historical data on disasters are limited (Liu, Siu, Mitchell & Xu, 2013; Xu et al., 2016).

In this context, the present work tries to contribute to the estimation of exceedance probabilities of losses of human lives (mortality) associated with multiple natural disasters in Argentina -that is, an absolute risk measure- between 1970-2015. In turn, given the existence of deep regional disparities in Argentina, in terms of income, poverty, life expectancy, education, prices -among others- (Longhi & Osatinsky, 2017; González, 2020) the estimates are conducted by region, making it possible to compare them.

2. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The potential damage resulting from a disaster does not depend solely on the underlying natural hazard (geophysical, meteorological, hydrological or climatic event) but also on the exposure to this hazard (location in affected areas) and on vulnerability arising from the existence of basic material deprivations. In other words, the so-called natural disaster is a complex interrelation between the natural and socio-economic dimension (Barrenechea, Gentile, González & Natenzon, 2000; Natenzon, 2016) and the disaster risk arises from the combination of these 3 elements (hazard, exposure and vulnerability).

Liu et al. (2013) develop a multi-hazard risk assessment method based on information diffusion theory to overcome the lack of historical and spatial data on natural disasters. They provide estimates for the China's Yangtze River Delta region and find that Hangzhou and Ningbo cities are those of highest risk (measure by exceedance probabilities) while Shanghai presents the lowest risk. The estimated exceedance probabilities of one death are 0.99 and 0.20, respectively. The estimates are normalized considering population. These authors remark that the exceedance probability is the inverse of the return period, this is, the risk associated to a 0.05 (5%) exceedance probability is the same as a 20-years return period.

Also for the Chinese case, Xu et al. (2016) provides estimates of exceedance probabilities for meteorological disasters. They find that southeastern provinces present a higher risk of multiple meteorological hazards as a result of their geographical location and topography.

Liu, Siu & Mitchell (2017) consider multiple natural disasters and their potential interactions in the case of the northeast region of Zhejiang (China). They show that a short period of time between natural disasters (two successive hurricanes for example) significantly increases the expected losses in the affected region. This takes place because people are particularly vulnerable after the first disaster and do not reach a full rebuild before the second event takes place.

3. METHODS

Methodology

The procedure for the calculation of exceedance probabilities based on the information diffusion method is detailed below, following Liu et al. (2013) and Xu et al. (2016). Equations (1) to (9) must be estimated for each of the $r$ regions and, in turn, equations (1) to (6) for each of the $d$ types of natural disasters considered. Equations (7) to (9) proceed with the aggregation of natural disasters by region.

Let $m_t$ be the deaths toll caused in a certain region and by type of natural disaster in year $t$ and be $U_d$ the fuzzy set of the number of deaths for the entire analysed period, which is determined from the historical disasters data and is in the interval [0-r] -being r a positive integer-. So:
La mortalidad de cada año se puede difumin en el dominio discreto del discurso a partir de la siguiente ecuación:

\[ f_t(u) = \frac{1}{h\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(m_{\text{max}}-m_{\text{min}})^2}{2h^2}} \]  \tag{2}

donde \( h \) es el coeficiente de difusión establecido desde los valores mínimos y máximos de mortalidad -\( m_{\text{min}} \) y \( m_{\text{max}} \) respectivamente- y el tamaño de la muestra \( n \) -definido por el número de años en el样的:

\[ h = 2.6851 \frac{(m_{\text{max}}-m_{\text{min}})}{(n-1)} \text{ para } n \geq 11 \]  \tag{3}

Huang (1997) detalla los valores de \( h \) para diferentes tamaños muestrales. La distribución de información -\( u_t(u) \)-, se obtiene de la normalización de \( f_t(u) \) y el resultado puede expresarse como una función de densidad de probabilidad continua:

\[ u_t(u) = \frac{f_t(u)}{\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} f_t(u)} \]  \tag{4}

Luego, la distribución de probabilidad \( p(u) \) en \( u \) puede ser calculada de las ecuaciones (5) y (6):

\[ q(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} u_t(u_j) \]  \tag{5}

\[ p(u) = \frac{q(u)}{\sum_{j=1}^{r+1} q(u_j)} \]  \tag{6}

donde es la distribución de probabilidad de 0-r muertes por un tipo de desastre natural dado. Por otro lado, suponiendo que la ocurrencia de cada desastre natural considerado es independiente de la ocurrencia de otros desastres, el número de muertes causadas por múltiples desastres naturales \( d \) puede ser calculado como:

\[ u m_d = \sum_{d=1}^{p} u_{jd} \]  \tag{7}

Por lo tanto, la distribución de probabilidad de muertes causadas por múltiples desastres naturales puede ser calculada como:

\[ p(u m_d) = \prod_{d=1}^{p} p(u_{jd}) \]  \tag{8}

donde es el número de muertes \( r \) causadas por cada tipo de desastre natural \( d \). Finalmente, la probabilidad de ocurrencia de mortalidad exceedance debido a múltiples desastres naturales \( d \) es obtenida como:

\[ P(u m_j) = \sum_{s=j}^{r+1} p(u m_s) \]  \tag{9}

En resumen, el cálculo de probabilidades exceedance nos permite saber cuál es la probabilidad -en un área específica- de experimentar, al menos, un cierto número de muertes por desastres naturales. En este caso, la probabilidad exceedance de 0 muertes será igual a 1, mientras que para valores arbitrariamente altos la probabilidad exceedance tiende a 0.
Data source

In Argentina, the most complete historical record of natural disasters is the Disasters Inventory System (DesInventar in Spanish), developed by the Social Studies Network on Disaster Prevention in Latin America (LA RED in Spanish), in which are registered different types of natural and anthropic disasters occurred in Argentina, between 1970-2015, with a level of disaggregation up to the municipal level. For each registered event, information is provided on the number of people exposed, estimated economic losses, damages caused, duration and type of disaster. The database is constructed from reports of newspapers of national circulation.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The loss curves by region are presented in Graph 1. It is observed that the Centro region is the one with the highest exceedance probabilities. This implies that the complementary cumulative distribution function of this region first order stochastically dominates the functions of the other regions. In turn, the NEA region is first order stochastically dominated by the other regions -in terms of their respective complementary cumulative distribution functions-.

![Graph 1. Loss curves (deaths) for regions in Argentina, 1970-2015. Source: Own elaboration based on DesInventar (2018).](image)

5. CONCLUSIONS

This work provided novel estimates of mortality risk for the Argentine case. This was the main contribution in the analysis of natural disasters. However, further research is needed. In particular, the presence of geographical bias in DesInventar records must be examine. This is, given that the records in this database are built from what is reported by the main newspapers in the country, it is reasonable to think that the disasters that take place in the Centro region will be better recorded than those that take place in peripheral regions.